BEFORE THE IOWA INSURANCE DIVISION

- - - - - - - X

IN RE:

WELLMARK RATE INCREASE : Public Hearing

- - - - - - - - X

Iowa Insurance Division 330 Maple Street Des Moines, Iowa Thursday, January 6, 2011

Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: SUSAN E. VOSS, Insurance Commissioner

Also Present: ANGEL ROBINSON Consumer Advocate

Iowa Insurance Division

330 Maple Street

Des Moines, Iowa 50319

KELLI M. MULCAHY - CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}

COMMENTS OF:	PAGE
Angel Robinson	6
Jim Nelson	14
Robert L. Bernard	15
Dale Lamb	17
George Ensley	20
Don Mealey	24
George Arvidson	31
Alison Anastasio-LeBlanc	37
Donna Barton	4 0
Anne Kinzel	41
Don Lincoln	4 4
Jeff Fisher	50
Representative Charles Isenhart (Via telephone)	55
Roger Crimmins (Via telephone)	56
Representative Sharon Steckman (Via telephone)	58
Daisy Rouse (Via telephone)	64

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Good morning, everybody.

My name is Susan Voss. I'm the Insurance

Commissioner.

Today is the public comment hearing on the proposed 2011 rate increase by Wellmark Blue Cross/Blue Shield on a number of their individual products.

I just want to make a few housekeeping remarks before we begin this. First of all, I don't know, if you need to use the restroom facilities, you just go out this door, and they're just down the hall to your right.

If you want to speak and provide your comments, we have a court reporter here today. She would greatly appreciate it-- I feel like the lights are dimming on me. We're not going to play a movie today.

If you could state your name for her so she can get that. We are going to be posting the transcript of this hearing today on our Web site. We had a transcript from the earlier hearing that we had in December, and that is on our Web site as well. So just for her assistance and ours, if you could do that, that would be greatly appreciated.

Also, there are a number of news media here, so there are microphones here at the table when you want to come up and speak, and so you will be, no doubt, recorded.

I want to give a little background on this hearing process and what we hope to receive today. As you know, during the 2010 legislative session, the legislature determined that it would be appropriate at the time of a proposed rate hearing by an insurance company to provide comment or public hearing for the public to present their views about the rate hearing. So today's hearing is based on a new law which is in Iowa Code Chapter 505, which provides for a public hearing and comment period.

Now, what's going to happen after this hearing today— This is one of the two hearings that we had today on these Wellmark proposed rates. We have an in-house actuary that has done a review of the proposed rate increase. We also have hired an independent outside actuary to review those rates as well. Their reports will both be posted on the Web site if you care to read those.

Then we will sit down internally. I have read them briefly. I will review them again, along with all the comments, and will make a determination,

we hope, by the end of the month as to what that rate approval will be.

There is one of three things that we can do here at the Division. We can simply accept the proposed rate as filed; we can go back to the carrier and say we will accept X percentage, we will not accept the proposed rate; or we can deny the rate in total. If we deny the rate, the carrier can appeal that or ask for a hearing on that.

So we have three different ways we can go. We do not have to accept in full whatever the rate is that is filed by the carrier.

Today's hearing is also being provided for around the state, and so there may be people who wish to speak who have preregistered from around the state, so not everybody who is participating is actually participating here in Des Moines, but I appreciate you all coming today.

One of the requirements under the Code is that the Consumer Advocate in our office, Angel Robinson, receives comments, and those are also on her Web site, if you care to review those as well, and she's had quite a few.

And part of the hearing today is for Ms. Robinson to present a summary of those comments

and other information to me as she sees appropriate.

So with that, Angel, if you want to step up and provide your information and input, I would appreciate that.

MS. ROBINSON: Good morning. Thank you very much for allowing me this opportunity to share the information that I've been collecting over the past nearly eight weeks in regards to the proposed Wellmark rate increase.

I would like to first begin by explaining my role in the rate increase process for those who are here at the hearing today and for those who will be reviewing the transcript.

After the legislature enacted the new Iowa Code Section 505.19, additional procedures are now required for rate increases that are above the CMS average of 6.1 percent.

I was notified in November that Wellmark filed such a rate increase at 10.8 percent. That triggered some new protections under Iowa law which required me to begin soliciting comments from the public and from Wellmark policyholders.

Those comments were received by e-mail, by fax, by phone, and by facsimile. I have, as of 7 a.m. this morning, received over 400 comments from

consumers, and those comments tended to be very personal in nature, but I did notice, after some analysis and review, that there were some trends that can be seen.

My job is to provide this information to the public so that they can see how the policyholders are being affected and to increase transparency and to also provide these comments to the Commissioner of Insurance before she makes her decision on whether to approve the rate increase that has been requested.

Upon review and analysis of the comments received, I found that there are a number of trends that were commonly coming or appearing before the policyholders and the public that were submitting notes and statements on behalf of this proposed increase.

One of the most prevalent comments regarded rate increase weariness. I received a total of 164 comments from consumers in regards to general weariness for the rate increases that have been received over the years and also in regards to dissatisfaction from consumers and policyholders about receiving another increase of this size after receiving the 2010 rate increase of 18 percent from Wellmark.

Some consumers chose to focus their comments by providing explicit detail, which is, again, available on the Web site for review for all the public, and some chose to generally state that they understood that costs were increasing for health care but they expressed their displeasure at receiving another increase and still believed that perhaps the amount of the increase could be lower and closer to inflation.

Another major concern that I noticed upon review was that of concerns regarding affordability. This is a concern that had many subgroups and, again, shared many personal stories in regards to how this rate increase would affect each individual commenting.

This group of statements included consumers that commented that they were on fixed incomes, that they were retired, that they were on Social Security or disability. Comments were provided by consumers that elaborated on how little income would be left for necessities if the rate increase was approved.

Compounding affordability problems were those of lack of accessibility, of options in the health care market for some consumers that had preexisting conditions. These consumers expressed

concerns that they were unable to obtain coverage elsewhere in the private health care market should they not be able to continue with the rate increase that's proposed.

Affordability comments often came from Medicaid or Medicare seniors and self-employed small business owners. This subgroup also faced or had incomes that were not as flexible or were unable to absorb increases as easily as some may be.

Nearly a third of this group actually expressed concerns that if the proposed rate increase did go through, they would be forced to lose their health insurance and be uninsured.

At 106 comments, I received concerns in regards to increases for consumers that rarely used their health insurance or had high-deductible plans. Comments that were received by consumers who were this felt unjustified in rate increases as their personal usage was rare, as well as those who had high deductibles felt that their situation did not warrant a rate increase.

Their concern was that, as they were not actually using the benefits of their policy and that they were being responsible policyholders, in their mind, they should not be grouped or pooled with those

who were not in like situations.

Though some of the consumers understood the basic premise of insurance was pooling, they still disagreed that they should be put into the same group and believed that their pool was not the proper one for them.

One of the last trends that was noticeable was that of Wellmark spending. Consumers made many varied comments in regards to the spending that Wellmark had performed on their new office facilities as well as those in regards to employee compensation. There are also some concerns in regards to the mailers and to publicity information and consumer information sent out by regular mail to consumers.

Some of the comments received by consumers in regards to this matter included suggestions on changes that could be made by Wellmark, though I would note that a lot of these comments and suggestions leaned heavily towards some of the objections that were received, and that includes comments in regards to how they should use their new facilities or how employee compensation could be changed.

I would add that there were some suggestions dealing with policyholders' wellness programs and

possible discounts that could be offered, but in general I would say, for this category of comments and testimony that were received, the consumers were concerned with cutting costs and passing those costs back to the policyholders as opposed to having them absorbed by the company.

I would also like to say today that while I did receive comments directly from consumers, the Insurance Division did provide an opportunity on December 18th for an additional public hearing.

At that public hearing, the consumers had an opportunity to share their comments directly with the Commissioner and add their statements and testimony directly to the record. We had approximately a dozen speakers at that hearing, and at that time many of the speakers focused on questions to the Commissioner, and there was additionally an opportunity for education.

The questions that were presented and the discussion focused around federal health care laws regarding medical loss ratio, the transparency of Wellmark and their books. There was some discussion regarding previously approved rate increases, and, finally, the role of the Commissioner, the Consumer Advocate, and the rate application process.

The consumers at the December 18th testimony public hearing wished to express to the Commissioner that though their comments seemed anecdotal, they wanted to reaffirm that they were really representing a larger population of policyholders for Wellmark. They were representing policyholders that were not able to come to the hearing or may not have had the opportunity to make comments.

That is actually very similar to some of the comments I received directly from consumers. I received over 100 comments from consumers expressing very similar points for the Commissioner that were asking to either disapprove, disagree, or explicitly ask the Commissioner of Insurance not to approve the rate increase.

Many consumers expressed that if this rate increase was absolutely necessary and if it was approved they implored the Commissioner to negotiate on their behalf as they were individual policyholders and did not have the benefit of group policyholders to have someone negotiate on their behalf for them.

In conclusion, I would ask that these comments and the reports that I will post on-line for the public and provide to the Commissioner be added to the record.

1 I would also ask if the Commissioner has any 2 questions or comments for me at this time. 3 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Angel, tell me again how many comments we have posted to date. Over 400? 4 5 MS. ROBINSON: We had over 400 when I checked and I added to my statistics this morning at 6 7 7 a.m., but I can tell you that there have been additional comments made since then that have 8 9 not--that I have not had a chance to add to my 10 statistics. 11 But at my last count at about 1 a.m. this 12 morning, it was 404. And, again, that does not 13 include the comments that are waiting for me when I 14 get back to my office. 15 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you. Thank you. 16 MS. ROBINSON: Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER VOSS: At this time we have a number of people who have preregistered that they 18 19 would like to make some public comments, and I'll 20 call your name, and if you can come to this table. 21 And if I don't say your name correctly, I apologize. 22 Karen Messamer. Karen, are you here? 23 We'll wait. Maybe she's going to 24 be-- This isn't the easiest place to find. 25 Adam Mason? Adam?

Jim Nelson?

I have a list of people who have signed up. Let's see. I'll just-- Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Nelson?

MR. NELSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. NELSON: For my first 20 years of working, I paid premiums to Wellmark with virtually no claims. My health was so good that I routinely donated my sick days to fellow employees in need.

From 2004 to 2009, my premium increased by 42 percent, from \$488 monthly to 695. It was stated in the Des Moines Register that there was an 18 premium--percent premium increase last spring. From 2009 to 2010, my premium increased by 50 percent, from 695 monthly to \$1,050.

It stated in the Des Moines Register that there was an average of an 11 percent premium increase planned for 2011. From 2010 to 2011, my premium increased by 15 percent, from \$1,050 monthly to 1,213.

It was stated in the Des Moines Register
that Insurance Commissioner Susan Voss and her agency
plan to make a decision by late January about
Wellmark's latest request for an increase. Is it
legal to increase the premium withdrawn from my

1 account yesterday without having been granted state
2 approval?

When I retired, I kept Wellmark's best insurance, Program 3 Plus. It would appear that Wellmark is now targeting policyholders like myself.

6 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Adam Mason or Karen
7 Messamer, are you-- I saw some people come in.

I have a Robert Bernard. Mr. Bernard?

MR. BERNARD: I'm Robert L. Bernard. I

wanted to talk to you about administrative overhead.

By way of background, I retired about eight years ago from Colorado State Supreme Court, office of the state court administrator. I've been self-insured since.

The recently enacted federal legislation on health care initiatives, those implemented thus far and to be implemented in the near future, will help us out as individuals.

Moreover, the administrative overhead that insurance companies now have will also be reduced because a lot of the decision-making processes will not need to transpire. So I want you to look at the administrative overhead to see if there's some consideration of that in this whole process.

I want to give one little personal example.

- 1 I just became a Blue Cross Insurance carrier, again,
- 2 | paying for it myself, so I received in the mail two
- 3 | letters, two business cards, you know, they provide
- 4 | me to use insurance, with two different group
- 5 numbers.
- 6 So I called Blue Cross yesterday and said,
- 7 | "Why? Which one is correct?"
- The staff person was helpful for me. They
- 9 | looked in some system, I presume, and they said,
- 10 | "Well, you lived in Colorado."
- I says, "Yes. That was 11 years ago. Why
- 12 am I still listed there?
- "Well, I don't have an answer," because
- 14 | this, you know, staff person wouldn't begin to know
- 15 this.
- So I said, "Can you get that corrected,
- 17 delete that from your records? And then which of
- 18 | these two group card numbers and policies are
- 19 correct?"
- 20 So the staff said, "Well, throw away this
- 21 one because it's not going to be used." Okay. So I
- 22 | did that.
- 23 But it's a little example of administrative
- 24 overhead and inefficiency. You're not going to
- 25 address that, obviously, here today, but nonetheless,

this is just an example for you to file away somewhere in your brain.

So that's all I want you to look at is administrative overhead with the impact that we have on our health care system and try to bring that into your decision-making process. And good luck.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Dale Lamb.

MR. LAMB: Good morning. Thanks for the opportunity today. Our mutual acquaintance, as you recall, was fairly outspoken, so forgive me if that's in part of my DNA here today.

But that being said, I can't believe that I don't see crowds here with pitchforks and torches.

Maybe it's the "No Smoking" sign, but I think this is outrageous.

Did the actuaries that we're talking about look at the books of the insured, the consumer out there, to evaluate what they could afford? Should they be doing that as a part of what the Code should provide?

You know, I think the number one cause of bankruptcy today is due to medical debts, and I think this is likely due to the fact that a huge number of

people can't even afford health insurance and so they get caught with the medical debts.

Now, other than paying our medical bills, the insurance companies spend big money on advertising, buying some of Iowa's best real estate, putting up some of its best buildings, paying good salaries, big CEO pay, spreading their big profits, and making big charitable contributions because they have our money. Those things should be examined in determining these rate increases.

The average family of three cannot afford \$12,000 a year in premiums plus paying a \$1,500 deductible, maybe, for the wife, paying a \$5,500 deductible for the child and the other spouse, which, once you add those up you've got \$19,000 annually.

And that doesn't include maybe another 5,000 for medical travel costs. And I'm not even thinking about motels because you can't afford them at that point. You just drive through.

It's not counting the hundreds of dollars, if not thousands more, for the various medical, dental, and vision expenses that they have and they have no coverage for.

Let's say that one needs a colon scope after age 50, which, if it's for preventative purposes, may

- not even be covered by your coverage. Or if you have symptoms, you know, bleeding from the rectum, something like this, perhaps, then you have more out of pocket that you pay for that service because you only have a 60/40 coverage.
 - So, you know, \$5,000 procedure, maybe \$2,000, maybe insurance company gets that reduced-
 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Excuse me. Hold on.

 Somebody is leaning against the lights.
- 10 Thank you.

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

- MR. LAMB: I thought I went blind for a minute. I was going to need more coverage.
- 13 COMMISSIONER VOSS: It's not over until the
 14 fat lady sings, and I haven't sung yet, so you're
 15 good to go.
- MR. LAMB: And that gives you problems too with your weight in the insurance world.
- We can't afford the proposed increase. I

 don't find any other costs rising like this. And all

 the time our Social Security recipients are being

 told the cost of living hasn't gone up so you don't

 get an increase.
 - Now, several people have suggested to me that it's a waste of time to be here. I still encouraged everybody to continue to call in. Knowing

that there's a case now pending before the Iowa

Supreme Court which will determine how all Iowans can

challenge their insurance companies on denials of

coverage and that the Insurance Commission could have

helped the insured but left in the battle alone,

didn't lift a finger, does concern me about the

reasons for being here.

I can only conclude wondering if before anyone here does go in to have that colon scope whether they should consider if any of the bleeding from the rectum is from medical reasons or from what's going on here today.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. The next person I have is George Easley.

Did I pronounce that right?

MR. ENSLEY: Close enough. Ensley, but no problem.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Ensley. George Ensley.

MR. ENSLEY: Hi. My name is George Ensley, and, like thousands of other Iowans, I pay for my own insurance. And right now I have a pretty high deductible. It's about five grand a year.

And just kind of looking at your boot, personally, I'd be very, very interested in how much that cost, and then, you know, myself, I would like

to know how much was your operation, how much was everything else involved, because I'm sure that right now it's a little bit hard to play tag with your grandkids.

Anyway, I could come here and complain that health insurance premium rate increases far exceed the rate of inflation, but a lot of other people are going to do that for me.

I could complain that these present hyperinflationary rates mean less money left for basic--families' basic needs and less money left for businesses to expand and grow, but a lot of other people will do that for me.

I don't come here to complain about these problems, but I hope to offer a couple of solutions that only require, I think, some executive orders from the Iowa Insurance Commission, simple solutions that can help reduce runaway insurance premiums, just two things.

One, combine all self-insured people into one single pool in Wellmark. My question is why all the different pools? I think it's just a clever marketing ploy. And experience has shown that healthy people benefit by jumping from one low-cost pool to the next.

However, those less fortunate get caught up in a rapidly rising pool where the only people left are those who are sick. These people face financial ruin or they opt out and pay for their costs--they let other people pay for their costs.

A single pool would get stable rates, not prone to runaway rate increases. People could be able to afford to keep their insurance.

A single pool would be much more predictable for Wellmark in terms of revenue and claims. Instead of trying to keep track of hundreds of groups, only one very large group in each company—in Wellmark would be involved, and a much more actuarially precise forecast could be made.

I think have an option for small companies to join an all-Iowa pool. If they can save money, they could invest their savings in expanding and growing their business.

One large pool would also make it very easy for this commission, the Iowa Insurance Commission, to accurately and reliably monitor what is going on. This is a relatively simple thing that I think could probably be done before the scheduled April increase.

Two, take away the mystery and the secrecy of hospital and doctor charges. Where the basic cost

of the insurance comes from is how much is what they have to cover.

I feel like we are in the Middle Ages. In the Middle Ages, the church kept hold on power only by allowing Bibles in Latin, unreadable to only--unreadable to everybody except for the very privileged few.

Gutenberg's Bible printed in German allowed the masses to read and study the Bible and grow in their understanding. Why can't we do that with health insurance?

One start to demystifying hospital and doctor charges would be to post Medicare reimbursement rates in a free and easily accessible Web site. This would give Iowa's citizens a comparison basis.

I'd like to see Wellmark payment rates, what they pay out, I'd also like to see those posted. I'd like to see a comparison of Medicare, Wellmark and other companies side by side for different procedures, like how much does it cost for foot surgery, to get a boot.

And I feel an ideal Web address for the above would be the Iowa Insurance Commission Web site and/or the Iowa Insurance Consumer Advocate Web site,

someplace where I can go and I can say--ask how much is it going to cost for a particular procedure.

Actually, the particular hospital I go to and stuff like that, they got a \$15 blood test, and it covers everything from cholesterol to BUNs and all that other type of stuff. If I asked--if I had it prescribed by my physician, it would be an \$85 blood test for the same identical piece of paper.

The health industry is the only multi-thousand-dollar service I know of where you don't know the prices until the end. If you really want smart consumers of health services, prices must be known.

Health insurance premiums are just like taxes, a necessary expense, but at least with taxes you know what the rates are. The citizens of Iowa are entitled to know what the health care cost is before we need it.

Thank you very much.

20 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.

21 Don Mealey. Is it Mealey?

MR. MEALEY: Good morning, Ms. Voss. Glad to meet you again.

24 COMMISSIONER VOSS: I thought you looked

25 familiar.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. MEALEY: I feel I could work for you as a subcontractor, and I feel you have integrity, but the company that's in question here today I see still didn't have enough integrity to show up with any personnel to show up.

I'd like to talk to that man because I want him to do some negotiating for me. I want him to raise my rates to my contractors and see if I can come away with that good of a deal.

I noticed one thing about driving down here today. The majority of the streets are all named after trees. We got Locust, Mulberry, Cherry, Maple. That tells me at one time this city and this state was a backwoods state. Well, we come a long way now from that backwoodsness.

The doctors and the medical field are part of the problem here. We started out giving the doctor a dozen eggs for our service. Then we gave him the chicken that produced the eggs. Now he's taking the whole farm. I mean, so that's where we're at.

Now, the last time I met with you was at the State Capitol, and gas was under \$3 a gallon. Well, as we all know, gas is over \$3 a gallon today.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: I have nothing to do

1 | with that.

2 MR. MEALEY: Yeah. That's right.

3 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Let me just be on the

4 record. I have no power over gas.

MR. MEALEY: Okay. But that's the cost of living that we're having to deal with because now I'm paying \$3 a gallon for gas.

They want me to pay \$307.10 monthly increase for my Blue Cross/Blue Shield. If I was able to buy my insurance out of state like I do my car insurance, there's a bigger field to play in.

Unfortunately, in this last census we seen the state of Iowa's actually shrinking, so I got news for you people, our insurance rates are going to go up even higher in the future because you got less pools to draw from.

Baby boomers are getting older, that's a fact. But keep in mind, one thing about the insurance that they have they offer, the one thing that's really interesting about that is we all end up on this page (indicating). This is where we're going to get our name and our face in the page.

I propose if we all--all those poor souls had health insurance and it didn't work, they should get their money back. There should be a dramatic

1 | rebate right there.

We're all going to die, so why be held hostage by one monopoly? Whenever you have 75 percent of the business in a state, that may not be described as a total monopoly, but it's pretty damn close in my book.

If we could go across state lines where there was bigger populous other than the three million people-- And I venture to say there's probably one million in the state doesn't have insurance, so we're only being charged out of two million people for these exuberant costs.

Drive around out west, look at the hospitals. Those aren't hospitals. They're Taj Mahals. That's all built off of excessive profit.

Now, granted, the union membership gets to go to work on those. I'm nonunion. I don't make a dime off of them. I pay for that grandiose atmosphere.

I don't know whether it has healing effect, but apparently it has something for the CEOs who walk around and they think they're in their little kingdom. We're paying for that.

Other ways that I see we're paying for it,

Iowa Career Jobs. Wellmark is one of the few businesses that are hiring today. They're hiring in every--advertisement, financial, whatever. The only thing they're not hiring in is banking, and that surprised me because they could start their own bank.

When the CEO and the people on the board of directors at Wellmark sit down and come up with these rates, I wish they had to have worked in a small business where a budget means something. They don't work-- They're working in a la-la land. They're getting people with the most important thing to us, and everybody in this room, is their health care.

And we want to have health care. We want to be responsible, but it's making it almost— They're putting too much grease on the pole. We almost cannot be responsible.

And, you know, like I said, with the \$3 gas and the cost of living's going up and every other thing, I was quoted that my rate was going to go up 46 percent.

Now, I don't feel I'm in bad health. Now, if I was a good driver, I get reductions in my-- And I am a good driver so I do pay cheap premiums on my driving skills because they put you in a pool that you're not at high risk. But at 60 years old, I'm

put in the same pool that is 60-year-old people that are in pathetic shape.

We went through drugs, sex, rock and roll, Jimmy Hendrix, Janice Joplin society, and now the chickens have come home to roost, and we're paying for that through our noses and our back pocket. I think it's just got a little excessive.

And, Susan, I talked to a doughnut maker at a local convenience store yesterday, and she seen my personality on TV. I'm not here for me at all. I'm here for the average Joe lunchbox guy or gal.

And she said, "I really appreciate you speaking up," because she--she got a \$30 weekly increase. She has two kids and makes doughnuts at a local convenience store. She's trying to keep off the world of welfare, but it's not going to come out if these costs keep escalating.

And, unfortunately, with the new technologies that are being offered to citizens, we all want golden Cadillacs, but we can't afford them.

So maybe the medical field are going to have to just step back and say, "Hey, if we give you 50 or 60 years on life, that's about as good as we can do," because you can't afford all the technology that's going on. I mean, that sounds cold and callous, but

let's put it we can't afford all gold Cadillacs.

And I'm really glad to see this crowd here today because what was up there at the statehouse on December 8th [sic] was appalling, and something that means so much to so many people.

And I do hope, like you stated earlier this year in the Des Moines Register, that you don't get complaints when it's too cheap, you only get it at your threshold when it's too high.

And I know you have a big job on your shoulders. I just hope you can sit down and justify your findings at the end of the day because I can tell you right now the people that you're representing, Wellmark, they don't deserve to be that—compensated with that kind of money.

I mean, they send out little fliers like this. Now, this is good for the printer. Maybe this created work. It tells you what to eat and what to do and all this stuff. I'm 60 years old. I've lived my life. I know how to live a life. I mean, I got to 60 years old.

And I'll say that does employ people, so maybe that's a stimulus package. Maybe this is a stimulus package when they hire all these people.

25 But I see in small businesses and business in general

- they're cutting back. With the computer technology
 and stuff, I don't see why Blue Cross/Blue Shield has
 to keep hiring all these people to fill positions,
 triplication of the same personality.

 So that's all I have to say today. And,
 - So that's all I have to say today. And, like I say, I'm here for the average Joe that can't be here at 9 o'clock because they've got a job and they've got to work. And Maple ain't the easiest place to find.
- 10 COMMISSIONER VOSS: I know. Sorry. They
 11 kind of stuck us back here.
- MR. MEALEY: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

- 13 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
- James Nelson? Mr. Nelson?
- MR. NELSON: Already spoke.
- 16 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 17 Mr. Deal, Jim Deal?
- 18 MR. DEAL: I'm going to pass. Thank you.
- 19 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 George Arvidson.
- 21 MR. ARVIDSON: Ms. Voss and members of the public, my name is George Arvidson.
- I'm an attorney. I worked for the State of
 Iowa for 30 years, and when I retired in 2002, I had
 to--I continued my Blue Cross policy. At that time,

it was a little over \$500 a month.

From 2002 to 2007, it went from a little over \$500 a month to a little over \$1,500 a month.

Now, this was a family plan, and I, tongue in cheek, suggested to my wife that we get guardianship of our grandkids so that we could cover them with insurance.

We didn't do that, of course.

But in March of 2007, I qualified for Medicare and sent a letter to Blue Cross telling them that I wished that my policy be canceled, and I obtained a policy through Physicians Mutual out of Omaha for my supplemental policy.

My wife wasn't so fortunate. She had to go on Blue Cross as an individual. They didn't take into consideration the fact that we had been with them for 30 years.

We got a high-premium, high-deductible policy, virtually excluding every preexisting condition she had, and, in essence, we were not only paying the premium for that policy, but we were insuring ourselves. And that went from March of 2007 through October of 2008, I believe, when she went on Medicare.

But the crux of what I'm here for today is to talk a little bit about unnecessary processing of

claims. Every time I go to a doctor or hospital or what have you, Blue Cross processes the claim for me, even though it's processed by Medicare and it's processed by Physicians Mutual.

Medicare pays their share, Physicians Mutual pays their share, and the Blue Cross processed claim says this: "These services were performed after your coverage was canceled." I know it was canceled. I canceled it.

So I tried to get to the bottom of it. In March of 2009, I wrote a letter again to CMS, which is the company that processes Blue Cross claims for this area, and in that letter I indicated-- Now I'm going to read from the letter.

"I enrolled for Medicare coverage, and it began in March of 2007. At the same time I purchased a Medicare supplement policy from Physicians Mutual Insurance," Policy No. blank, blank, blank.

"I wrote my primary insurance carrier and canceled my health care insurance policy," Wellmark Blue Cross/Blue Shield Policy No. blank, blank, blank,

"Every time a claim is processed, it is sent by CMS to my private insurer, Wellmark, even though they have canceled my previous policy with them at my request almost two years ago.

"I do not wish CMS to show Wellmark as my private insurance carrier on my CMS summary and benefits since the policy has been canceled, and I would like CMS to stop sending claims to Wellmark since the policy has been canceled. I am tired of getting benefit summaries from Wellmark stating these services were performed after your policy was canceled." And "See a highlighted example," and I've got virtually dozens of these.

Now, this is certainly a very inefficient way for Blue Cross to process these, especially when they know it's canceled. Why are they processing it? Why are they spending the money to process these claims?

If it's happening to me, I know it's happening to thousands, maybe hundreds of thousands of others. That's a big expense they could eliminate.

Now, I received back a letter in June of 2009 to my March 25th letter of 2009 from CMS.

"Thank you for contacting Medicare. We recently reviewed your letter regarding Wellmark Blue Cross/Blue Shield policy. You indicated in your letter that you had disenrolled from that policy and

picked up a policy with Physicians Mutual.

"After receiving your records, we determined that Blue Cross--we determined that Wellmark Blue Cross/Blue Shield is listed as your secondary insurance. If you change supplemental policies, you need to call your old supplemental policy to cancel the crossover.

"Please allow 60 calendar days for the process to be completed. If it has been more than 60 calendar days, please contact your supplemental insurance company.

"Thank you for sending your correspondence to Medicare. If you have any questions concerning this matter or have any other items you would like to discuss, please call us."

Okay. Now, I had called Blue Cross several times asking them to stop this. They said I would have to contact Medicare. I contacted Medicare, and Medicare is saying I have to contact Blue Cross.

So I decided to take the bull by the horns and made a conference call. I set up a conference call between Medicare and Blue Cross, and I had talked with two very nice ladies on the line, and they assured me that they'd get it straightened out for me. That was over six months ago. I still

haven't got it straightened out.

I've got a claim here for January of 2010 that is not straightened out. I've got another one from May of 2010 that's still not straightened out. And each time it still says the same thing: "These services were performed after your coverage was canceled."

Why is Blue Cross continuing to process these phantom claims? They aren't going to pay me anything because they know it's canceled. They're spending an awful lot of money processing phantom claims for me and, I'm sure, many, many others.

Now, a second thing where I feel Blue Cross could cut expenses is with the nurse who calls. I had a particular condition, and I had a nurse that called. I talked to her. The doctor had explained to me what to do and, you know, what medications to take.

The nurse called again about a month, month and a half later, and finally, the third time she called me, I said, you know, "You're very pleasant to talk to, but I believe after our discussions and after my discussions with my doctor that I understand what's going on." Excuse me. So that's another area where they could cut expenses.

1 I think it's ridiculous the amount of increase that they are asking current policyholders. 2 3 I thought I got the shaft when I went from 500 a 4 month to 1,500 a month and then had to take a policy 5 for my wife which was a high premium and virtually no 6 coverage. 7 But I think I've pointed out two areas where 8 Blue Cross could do a better job in cutting their 9 expenses. You know, it makes sense to me that if the 10 policy's canceled you don't need to process the 11 claim. Now, is there anything wrong with that logic? I don't think so. 12 13 Thank you for your time. I'm going to leave 14 a copy of these--15 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you. 16 MR. ARVIDSON: --two letters. 17 And appreciate your holding these hearings. I'm sorry that I was late, but I was one of those 18 19 persons who wasn't able to find the location as easy 20 as I thought I was going to. 21 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you. 22 Alison Anastasio-LeBlanc. 23 MS. ANASTASIO-LeBLANC: Hello. I'm not a

public speaker. I work out of my house virtually by

24

25

myself.

So my name is Alison Anastasio-LeBlanc. My husband and I have owned a small mom-and-pop business since 2004. We are the only employees, the only two employees. We both work our business together full time and periodically take on other part-time jobs for financial reasons.

We do not have a storefront. It would be cost prohibitive, which is one of the reasons we work out of our house. We have not taken a vacation since opening our business in 2004.

Blue Cross/Blue Shield has taken our monthly premium right out of our checking account since 2004 when we opened our business. We have an individual policy based on my age. I am 56 years old. We have a basic policy, no vision, no dental, no mental health.

Blue Cross/Blue Shield routinely denies our medical claims. My latest frustration on that regard was last August when I fell in our backyard and they would not pay anything because they said it was workmen's comp related, in spite of my providing proof that it was not.

I went round and around on the phone with them. At one point they had lost my claim completely.

In 2004, our monthly premium was

approximately \$500. I had to accept a rider denial

of a preexisting condition. Blue Cross/Blue Shield,

again, routinely denies our medical claims aside from

my preexisting condition.

Our biggest premium increases and huge jumps

have occurred since 2009, when our premium was raised

have occurred since 2009, when our premium was raised to \$809.10. Our latest notice of premium increase dated November 2010 states that our current individual small business premium of \$1,068 will, as of April 1st, 2011--April Fool's Day, as you note--have a proposed rate increase bringing our monthly premium up to \$1,182.30 per month.

Our business is a faith-based family business. My 19-year-old son is with me here today. He is a college freshman living at home. We currently have the money for him to complete his first year of college only.

Blue Cross/Blue Shield's rapid and vast increases are negatively affecting our son's future education and our personal income and the present viability of our Iowa small business.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.

MS. ANASTASIO-LeBLANC: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Donna Barton.

MS. BARTON: My name's Donna Barton. I guess I'm more questioning if there's a plan in place for people if they can't afford the rate increases.

Wellmark gave me many increases. I couldn't afford it anymore so I canceled my policy, and nine months later they went back and took anything they paid for the time that I had paid premiums, took all the money back from the hospital.

And I hate to see that happen to somebody else. I have a list here. They took back \$12,000, which now I'm left owing, but they did not return my premiums I paid.

So I'd just like to think there's some type of plan in place for people who are not going to be able to afford this rate increase.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: I know we have several people here from the Division, and if you want to chat with somebody afterwards, maybe we can bring some people here from our market regs.

MS. BARTON: Yeah. I've--

21 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Consumer Advocate's 22 here.

MS. BARTON: I've seen a lot of attorneys, and I can't find anybody that wants to actually go against them. You know, one attorney said, "Well,

- you'd need a team, and it's going to end up costing
 you more money to fight than you would get back."
- COMMISSIONER VOSS: Angel, after this can you chat with Ms. Barton?
- 5 MS. ROBINSON: Absolutely.
- 6 MS. BARTON: All right. Well, thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER VOSS: You're welcome.
- 8 Anne Kinzel.
- 9 MS. KINZEL: Good morning, Commissioner
 10 Voss, members of the public. Anne Kinzel from Ames,
 11 Iowa.
- I just have a couple questions this morning.
- 13 I've been out of state and have not followed this
- 14 | issue, perhaps, as closely as I should.
- But I would like to understand a little bit
- 16 about the rate increase itself. I understand it's
- 17 | about 11 percent, but is that the mean rate of
- 18 | increase, and, if it is, what is the range of
- 19 increases that individual and small business
- 20 | policyholders in the state are facing, and do you
- 21 | have that data?
- 22 COMMISSIONER VOSS: This is the base rate,
- 23 | and we can get you that information. We'll put
- 24 | something together for you.
- 25 MS. KINZEL: Okay. And secondly, is there

also information on the median rate of increase so that we could know how many people have increases above that number and how many below?

COMMISSIONER VOSS: I don't think we have that right now.

MS. KINZEL: Okay. Thank you.

Second, I would like to bring some information to you from that last Iowa Legislative Health Care Coverage Commission meeting. Mr. David Lind, who provides reports and analysis on rate increases and projected rate increases for businesses across Iowa, presented a very interesting data picture at our last commission meeting.

In 2010, the average family coverage in Iowa was \$12,766 for the cost of a family policy.

Mr. Lind projects, based on historical data, that the rate of increase over the next ten years should be about 10.4 percent. This is what he would expect from the work that he's been doing since 1999.

And I think it's important to get some perspective when we look at numbers. Many of you are aware of the analogy of the lobster in the pot. If you put the lobster in a pot of cold water and you gradually increase the rate of heat, the lobster will be compliant, and at the end, he will be cooked. If

you put the lobster in a pot of boiling water, the lobster is not so compliant, and he will jump out and probably scare you in your kitchen.

And so let's think about rates of increases as slow-boiling pots of water. If, in 2010, our rates--our average rate for family policies is \$12,766 and we see a rate of increase of 10.4 percent in ten years, what we would see in 2020 is an average family policy in the state of Iowa that would cost \$34,337.

I think it's fair to say that it's unlikely that the Iowa economy or even the national economy will grow to an extent that compensation for those who work will grow to a point that it will cover a \$34,337 family policy.

So I want us to think about these rate increases over time. A lot of people have talked today about discrete increases that they've gotten for one year, but I think it's very important to think about this year over year and where we might be in ten years and what that would mean for both the Iowa economy and for working people in terms of being able to afford any health care coverage whatsoever to be able to address their own personal health problems.

1	Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
3	MS. KINZEL: I want to submit this to you.
4	COMMISSIONER VOSS: I'm going to Those
5	are all the people that signed up to speak here, but
6	we may have some people at our remote sites who wish
7	to make some comments, so I'm going to ask the
8	operator to begin the questionthe roll call.
9	And if I could just remind those at the
10	remote locations to give us their full names clearly
11	so that we can add those to the record so I'm
12	sorry, sir.
13	MR. LINCOLN: I did sign up to speak.
14	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Oh, you did?
15	MR. LINCOLN: Don Lincoln.
16	COMMISSIONER VOSS: I'm sorry. Come on up.
17	I apologize. I must have missed you on there.
18	Go ahead.
19	MR. LINCOLN: My name is Don Lincoln, and I
20	came down from the great city of Madrid, Iowa today.
21	Somewhat struggled with the idea of taking a half a
22	day off work to come before you, but really want to
23	thank you for this opportunity to come before you
24	today and voice my concerns.

In looking at these rate increases, I am an

independent contractor, I sell real estate and insurance for a living, so I understand the need for rate increases. However, looking at double-digit back-to-back rate increases has got my attention.

And if it wasn't for my wife, I would probably drop health care altogether. I am 55 years old. I've been blessed with good health. I've never spent a day of my life in the hospital, other than the day I was born, and so you can see where a back-to-back double-digit increase would get my attention because I am in good health. I hope that I don't need this insurance down the road. My wife feels that maybe someday I will.

I guess coming before you today, my main concern is—and maybe I don't watch the media close enough or pay enough attention to what Wellmark is doing, but I never hear of or see anything written about pool increases. My problem with this whole thing is the word "individual" increases.

As an individual, if I'm standing out in front of Blue Cross/Blue Shield looking at the building, it's like a David and Goliath scenario to me. I don't feel that I can take them on. But I do feel, my wife feels that I need health care insurance.

I am currently paying a rate where, from the city that I come from, I could buy a house with the monthly payment that I am paying for health care insurance right now. And I feel that if this continues to go the way—the direction it's going, I'm going to come to a decision one day where I either have to decide I'm going to make my house payment or I'm going to make a health care insurance payment.

So these are concerns that I have. You know, maybe I need to investigate this a little further to see if I can get in some type of pool, but to me it looks like the individual policies are the ones that are getting these big rate increases.

And, now, I have admitted here that I'm not up to date on what they're doing--what Blue Cross/Blue Shield is doing with their pools, but I'm not reading anything about increases for those people.

And I guess in my mind, if you've got a pool of 120 people and they all decide to go somewhere else, that's a pretty big hit. If, as an individual, I decide to go somewhere else-- And I understand I have that right, I can look around and see what else I can find out there. But as an individual leaving

them, it's not a dent in the bucket at all.

So I guess those are my comments. And, once again, I want to thank Angel for all the statistics and the comments that she's keeping track of. Sitting here listening to her report, her initial report, I could have been any one of those people that sent her a comment, and so I appreciate hearing that there are other people out there that's concerned about that.

I appreciate the other people that came here today to speak to you. I am somewhat involved in the city of Madrid as a city councilperson, so I understand the need for people to come before and voice their concerns, and that's why I did take a half a day off work today to come down here, because if I would have been sitting in my office back in Madrid, you know, I can sit there and stew and stew and stew, but until I come and present my problem to you or my concerns to you, you know, you're not going to know what's going on out there.

And, yes, I am glad to see this many people here today. I'm sure there's many more people that couldn't--didn't have the luxury of taking the day off today.

So thank you very much for letting me talk

	48
1	today.
2	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you, Mr. Lincoln.
3	We'll open it up to the cities around the
4	state. If the operator wants to begin with the roll
5	call, and we'll take comments from those in the
6	various cities that have been hooked up.
7	Operator.
8	THE OPERATOR: At this time I would like to
9	begin with the roll call. If you have any comments,
10	please proceed.
11	Ames.
12	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
13	THE OPERATOR: Anamosa.
14	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
15	THE OPERATOR: Burlington.
16	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
17	THE OPERATOR: Centerville.
18	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
19	THE OPERATOR: Cherokee.
20	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
21	THE OPERATOR: Clarinda.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.

THE OPERATOR: Council Bluffs.

THE OPERATOR: Corning.

22

23

24

		49
1	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.	
2	THE OPERATOR: Davenport.	
3	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
4	THE OPERATOR: Dubuque.	
5	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.	
6	THE OPERATOR: Elkader.	
7	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
8	THE OPERATOR: Estherville.	
9	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.	
10	THE OPERATOR: Fort Dodge.	
11	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
12	THE OPERATOR: Greenfield.	
13	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.	
14	THE OPERATOR: Grundy Center.	
15	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
16	THE OPERATOR: Ida Grove.	
17	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
18	THE OPERATOR: Iowa City.	
19	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
20	THE OPERATOR: Jefferson.	
21	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.	
22	THE OPERATOR: Marion.	
23	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	
24	THE OPERATOR: Mason City.	
25	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.	

1	THE OPERATOR: Montezuma.
2	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
3	THE OPERATOR: Muscatine.
4	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
5	THE OPERATOR: Rock Rapids.
6	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
7	THE OPERATOR: Sibley.
8	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
9	THE OPERATOR: Sioux City.
10	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
11	THE OPERATOR: Spencer.
12	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
13	THE OPERATOR: Waterloo.
14	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Okay.
15	THE OPERATOR: Waverly.
16	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right.
17	THE OPERATOR: Webster City.
18	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you,
19	Operator.
20	THE OPERATOR: You're welcome.
21	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Do we have a
22	representative from Wellmark that wishes to speak?
23	MR. FISHER: Thank you, Commissioner Voss,
24	for allowing me the opportunity to speak here today.
25	And more importantly

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Your name?

MR. FISHER: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Jeff Fisher. I'm assistant general counsel for regulatory affairs at Wellmark.

Again, thank you to the Commissioner for holding this hearing today. And more importantly, I want to thank the policyholders who have chosen to participate in today's hearing and in the previous hearing on December 18th, as well as the opportunity to provide comments on-line to the Consumer Advocate, which she's posted on the Web site and summarized for us today.

All the comments that we've heard and have been submitted highlight a very important issue, and that is the rising cost of health care in our state. The people-- I want to make sure I emphasize for today's audience and everyone who is watching that the people at Wellmark at all levels of the company are listening closely to our customers' concerns, and, in fact, we agree with many of the underlying concerns that have been expressed.

It's our position that the rate increase we have requested is justified, but it's certainly much higher than either Wellmark or our customers would like to see.

The fact is we've seen in Iowa a trend that's not uncommon in other parts of the country as well, and that is our members, as a group, are using more services year to year, and this leads to increase in the payments that are required to go out to hospitals and doctors and pharmacies for the care that our members receive. We see this trend continuing in 2011 and perhaps beyond that time period.

We've been working hard to control costs at Wellmark, but we have a long way to go. In fact, the stories and the experiences that we heard today and previously, and these are experiences of folks who are our neighbors, they're our family members, they're our friends, these experiences are what drive Wellmark's employees to work hard every day to achieve the company's primary goal, which is to maintain a--or build and maintain a sustainable health care system where the cost of health care and the cost of insurance to cover that health care is affordable and doesn't increase at the rates we've seen this year or last year.

It can't be accomplished alone. This is something that Wellmark has worked with other stakeholders in the health care sector, including

doctors, hospitals, pharmacies, employers, and, in fact, our individual members to try to control these costs and contain or reverse the trend of rising health care.

We've got a long way to go. It's something that we think is achievable. And we appreciate, again, the opportunity to speak to you today and to listen face to face and over the Internet and via the transcripts that are being recorded to our consumers' viewpoints and our customers' viewpoints. We'll continue to try to service them as best we can.

So I appreciate the opportunity. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who wants to speak?

MS. ROBINSON: Susan.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes.

(Discussion off the record.)

right. Well, let me just--since we don't have anyone else who wanted to speak in the room, for those of you who did not want to, you can still contact our office or chat with Angel Robinson after the hearing.

As I mentioned previously, here's what's going to occur going forward. We have the reports from our in-house actuary and our independent actuary

that will be posted on the Internet on our Web site for you to review.

Division will then sit down with all the comments they received. We will meet internally about the reports. We'll review the reports, and we will possibly be having additional meetings internally, and then we will make a decision by the end of the month.

As I mentioned earlier, there are three things that we can do. We can either accept the rate as filed, go back to Wellmark and tell them we would only accept a certain rate increase, or deny the rate increase in total, at which time Wellmark is within their rights to request a hearing. So those are the different options that we have.

16 Angel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

MS. ROBINSON: Commissioner, could I request that you ask the operator to reopen the lines for Dubuque and for Mason City?

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Operator?

21 THE OPERATOR: Dubuque?

22 | COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes. Could you--

THE OPERATOR: Dubuque?

24 COMMISSIONER VOSS: --open the line for

25 | Dubuque, please?

1	THE OPERATOR: One moment.
2	COMMISSIONER VOSS: This is the first time
3	we've done this, so
4	THE OPERATOR: Dubuque, your line is open.
5	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Dubuque.
6	REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: Hello?
7	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Hello.
8	REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: Can someone be
9	heard?
10	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes, we can hear you.
11	REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: Okay. We tried to
12	jump in the first time we were called but weren't
13	acknowledged.
14	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. I'm sorry.
15	Representative Isenhart?
16	REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: Might be an issue
17	with some of the other locations too. Yes, this is
18	Representative Isenhart.
19	I just had a question in response to a point
20	that was made by one of the presenters who saidmade
21	reference to the fact that individual policyholders,
22	since they're not in a group, really don't have any
23	bargaining power, people to negotiate for them, and
24	suggested that the Commissioner's office I don't

know if you have the power to be a negotiator or not,

1 but in describing your abilities, your options as the Insurance Division with respect to this rate 2 3 increase, does the -- your ability to -- do you have an 4 ability to negotiate a different rate based on some 5 factors you think are relevant or do you have the ability to delve into the validity of the numbers you 6 7 are being presented and how they apply towards the 8 requested rate increase? 9 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes. Absolutely. 10 in fact, if you look historically at what we've done 11 with rate review, we've often negotiated down. 12 Almost half of the rates have been negotiated down 13 over the history of our reviews. And that's with all 14 carriers. I'm not speaking just about Wellmark. 15 REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Uh-huh. Is there 17 anybody else in Dubuque who would like to speak? 18 REPRESENTATIVE ISENHART: 19 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you. 20 I think we had somebody from Mason City. 21 Operator, could we open up the Mason City line, 22 please? 23 THE OPERATOR: Mason City, your line is 24 open.

MR. CRIMMINS: Hi. Can you hear me?

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes.

MR. CRIMMINS: Okay. Good. My name is

Roger Crimmins. I live in Mason City. Just a couple
quick comments.

First, Commissioner Voss, you asked how many comments had been made, and the lady said about 400 or something, and then a few people made comments today. I certainly hope that you are not considering the number of comments but rather the content of the comments, because what I heard was very important to this issue.

Secondly, here in Mason City we're all crowded around this little telephone speaker box, and might I suggest that an issue of import such as this is that affects so many in the future might be something that you work through Iowa Public Television or Iowa Public Radio to get broadcast statewide.

And then on a personal note, I would just like to add it surprises me, number one, that a business as large as Wellmark, operating as long as they have, would see the need to have to jump rates so quickly. There had to have been some planning that was missed along the way.

But be that as it may, I'd just like to

- 1 point out one personal issue, and that is the situation with my wife's health insurance that, in 2 3 the course of three years since 2008, with this proposed rate increase, is going to jump to just 4 5 under 100 percent. So that, you know, there was a lady there 6 7 that asked, you know, what's the range. Well, there's part of the range, nearly 100 percent. And 8 9 that amount is basically getting close to her 10 two-week take-home pay. And it's just ludicrous that this situation 11 12 has arrived at the point at which it is right now. 13 And I'm sure that I speak for many other people 14 and -- as I heard earlier other people speaking to that 15 issue. 16 So, again, I hope that you don't weigh out
 - So, again, I hope that you don't weigh out the number of comments but, rather, the content of those comments when you weigh these issues.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
- 21 Anyone else in Mason City?
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Yes.
- 23 Representative Sharon Steckman.
- 24 | COMMISSIONER VOSS: I'm sorry. What was the
- 25 last name?

17

1 REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Steckman. 2 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: I would voice 3 4 Roger's concern about the way the -- I appreciate the fact that we're being able to have input; however, I 5 had a lot of e-mails from constituents that said, you 6 7 know, "I am working all day long trying to pay my 8 insurance. I can't make this meeting." I think if 9 this was held in the evening you would see a bigger 10 turnout, and it would be more helpful to the people 11 that would like to express concerns. 12 COMMISSIONER VOSS: I appreciate that. 13 Thank you. 14 REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: And also, we--when 15 you came in and asked for comments, we said yes, and 16 apparently -- I can't imagine that all those other 17 locations had no comments at all. Are you sure you 18 gave them the chance, or could you possibly give a 19 phone number that a location could call? 20 I knew to call Representative Petersen and 21 let her know that we had a comment, and so did 22 Representative Isenhart, but possibly some of the 23 other locations did not. It might be helpful if they 24 had a phone number they could quickly call if they

did have a comment and were not able to get in when

1 | they were asked.

did--

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Well, we can certainly ask the operator to go through the list of cities again.

REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: No.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: I'm happy to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: When she did that, though, we did say yes, and apparently she didn't catch that or we weren't connected, or something.

The fact that I had a phone number to call was helpful because then you knew we did have a comment.

COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you. We

REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Thank you.

Just to kind of clarify, I know this is the first time we have done this. We did have a Saturday hearing on December 18th because I felt that we needed to have an opportunity to have people who couldn't make it to work, and in the future when we have these, we will certainly make sure that we have these at a time that are convenient for working people.

REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Right. That Saturday one was in Des Moines, right?

1	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes. Yes.
2	REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Right. Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
4	Operator, why don't we go through the list
5	again.
6	THE OPERATOR: Okay. I will begin with the
7	roll call.
8	Ames.
9	REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: She started the
10	roll call, and we said yes, and she totally ignored
11	it.
12	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Ames? Is there someone
13	there in Ames?
14	THE OPERATOR: No comments from Ames.
15	Anamosa.
16	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No comments. I just
17	happened to step in the room, and nobody's here.
18	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
19	THE OPERATOR: Burlington.
20	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay.
21	THE OPERATOR: Centerville.
22	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Centerville, is there
23	anyone there that wants to comment?
24	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Can you hear me?
25	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Yes.

1	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No comments. And no
2	one's here, by the way.
3	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you.
4	Cherokee.
5	REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: Well, it's good
6	they're going through it again, though, because we
7	did say yes
8	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Who said yes?
9	Oh, okay. How about let's try Clarinda.
10	Operator, do you want to keep going?
11	THE OPERATOR: Corning.
12	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Next city.
13	THE OPERATOR: Council Bluffs.
14	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Next city.
15	THE OPERATOR: Davenport.
16	MR. CRIMMINS: We're done in Mason City.
17	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Next city.
18	THE OPERATOR: Dubuque.
19	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. I know we heard
20	from Representative Isenhart. Next city.
21	THE OPERATOR: Elkader.
22	(Voices heard via telephone.)
23	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Is that Mason City?
24	THE OPERATOR: Estherville.
25	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: There were five people

- 1 here total, and there are no comments.
- 2 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Thank you.
- THE OPERATOR: Greenfield.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No comment.
- 5 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
- 6 THE OPERATOR: Grundy Center.
- 7 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Go ahead. Ida
- 8 Grove.
- 9 THE OPERATOR: Ida Grove.
- 10 COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Let's try
- 11 | Iowa City.
- 12 THE OPERATOR: Iowa City.
- 13 REPRESENTATIVE STECKMAN: I can't believe
- 14 | there's no one in Iowa City with comments.
- 15 COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Next.
- 16 THE OPERATOR: Jefferson.
- 17 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Next.
- 18 THE OPERATOR: Marion.
- 19 COMMISSIONER VOSS: Next.
- 20 THE OPERATOR: Mason City.
- MR. CRIMMINS: We already made our comments.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 | COMMISSIONER VOSS: Oh, that's right.
- 24 | Sorry. I'm sorry.
- 25 All right. Next.

1	THE OPERATOR: Montezuma.
2	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Next.
3	THE OPERATOR: Muscatine.
4	COMMISSIONER VOSS: All right. Next.
5	THE OPERATOR: Rock Rapids.
6	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No comments here.
7	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
8	Next.
9	THE OPERATOR: Sibley. Sibley.
10	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Next.
11	THE OPERATOR: Sioux City.
12	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Next.
13	THE OPERATOR: Spencer.
14	MS. ROUSE: We agree that there is not
15	enough publicity on this. Most people do not know
16	about it. Are you aware it was
17	MS. ROBINSON: Pardon me. To interrupt you,
18	could you please state your name for the record?
19	MS. ROUSE: Daisy Rouse.
20	MS. ROBINSON: Thank you. Could you
21	continue.
22	MS. ROUSE: I think that's my main comment.
23	I pretty much agree with what's been said.
24	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you.
25	THE OPERATOR: Waterloo.

	05
1	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Next.
2	THE OPERATOR: Waverly.
3	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Next.
4	THE OPERATOR: Webster City.
5	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Okay. Well, thank you,
6	Operator.
7	THE OPERATOR: You're welcome.
8	COMMISSIONER VOSS: Thank you very much. I
9	appreciate those additional comments.
10	I don't have anything else. As I said,
11	we'll put both the actuary reports on our Web site.
12	We will have a transcript done of the hearing today
13	that will also be on the Web site. Please feel free
14	to send additional comments to either our office or
15	the Consumer Advocate, Angel Robinson. We hope to
16	have a decision made by the end of the month.
17	Thank you all very much for coming and
18	participating. Thank you.
19	(Proceedings concluded at 10:25 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand

Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that

I acted as the official court reporter at the hearing

in the above-entitled matter at the time and place

indicated;

That I took in shorthand all of the proceedings had at the said time and place and that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting under my direction and supervision, and that the foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete transcript of the shorthand notes so taken.

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 9th day of January, 2011.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER